An Exploration of Sharing Files and Intellectual Property Rights

Dr. Jitendra Kumar Singh SOMC, Sanskriti University, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India

Samvakti Journal of Research in Business Management

Journal https://www.sjrbm.samvaktijournals.com

Volume 2 Year of Volume 2021 Page No: 33 - 40

Discipline Consumer Behavior

Conference A virtual international conference on redefining and transforming the role of higher

education in sustainable development

Conference Start Date: September 30, 2021
Dates End Date: September 30, 2021

Institute Name

JAIN (Deemed-to-be University) in association with Council for Industrial

Innovation and Research

Date Received: November 21, 2021Publication Date: December 12, 2021ID: 2021.01.16Paper Type: Conference Paper

Dol : 10.46402/2021.01.16 **Dol URL** : https://dx.doi.org/10.46402/2021.01.16

Access Type : Open Access (<u>Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International</u>) © 2021 Dr. Jitendra Kumar Singh with publication rights granted to <u>Samvakti Journals</u>

ABSTRACT

Property law has been substantially eroded as a result of the rise of document uploading. Those who swap songs, videos, novels, and videogames now account for up to 60% of Online activity. Due to the obvious modern software's prominence, nevertheless, writers' drive to create new pieces has not been harmed by document uploading. For 3 purposes, we believe that data transfer has had a restricted effect. The amount of income lost as a result of file exchange is lower as several persons believe. According to an objective analysis, music streaming accounts for less than 20% of the existing revenue decline. The exchange of information raises the demand for restricted work complements, such as musical tickets and royalties. Composers' profits were boosted by the selling of more costly complements. In far too many innovative industries, cash rewards are playing a less role in inspiring writers to stay innovative. The statistics on the distribution of original artists supports the idea that authors and distributors did not prohibit illegal downloading. The development of music, books, and pictures has exploded since illegal downloading.

KEYWORDS: Copyright, Consumer, File-Sharing, Intellectual Property, Technology.



INTRODUCTION

Consumers now already become allowed to replicate songs, novels, interactive media, as well as other secured products at a low price and on a vast volume that really was formerly impossible because to the emergence of document services. We investigate how current technology advancements have reduced the motivations for writers and multimedia companies to develop, promote, and disseminate contemporary artists in this paper. Although there is contradictory quantitative evidence on the impact of illegal downloading on revenue, multiple investigations have suggested that illegal downloading may be accountable for up to one-fifth of the subsequent drop in digital revenues.

It is insufficient to conclude that writers have less motivation to produce newer products just because revenue have decreased. File sharing has a significant impact on a variety of industries, including entertainment, electronics, and networking networks. For example, technological advancements have boosted concert attendance rates, encouraged musicians to play more often, and ultimately increased their total revenues^[1].

The information on fresh employment production supports our hypothesis that illegal downloading doesn't really discourage writers and distributors from developing fresh products. During 2002 and 2007, the amount of unique novels released increased by 66%. New music albums have been released every year since 2000, while global feature film output has increased by more than 30 percent since 2003, according to the International Federation of Film Critics. Consumer welfare has significantly increased as a result of contemporary technology, according to empirical research conducted around the same period into file-sharing materials.

Many countries' copyrights owners had already evolved in much the similar way and over past 200 years: lawmakers have constantly enlarged the constitutional protections of writers and publishing but concurrently raising costs for the wider populace and discouraged the use of trade secrets. File sharing, when seen against this background, is a one-of-a-kind experiment that has significantly undermined intellectual property rights. While certain conventional economic structures in the creative industries, particularly in music, have been disrupted as a result of file sharing, our interpretation of the data indicates that new technology has not hindered the production of artistic work. It seems that a laxer approach to copyright protection has been beneficial to society.

In several jurisdictions, peer-to-peer sharing of works has been deemed a violation of intellectual property rights. There is no longer any question that uploading works to peer-to-peer networks is in violation of copyright laws since, in the majority of instances, it makes protected works accessible without the permission of the rights holders. The legal status of the simple act of downloading works via peer-to-peer networks is less well defined^[2].



Simply uploading is undoubtedly another type of duplication that has already been prohibited by the writers and other such nearby content providers. Nonetheless, in some areas, the legitimacy of it being used as a reasonable use or personal reproduction has now been questioned. The technique of downloads also presents the question over when a personal editions admissibility must be judged by it may not have been received through a reputable sources.

If a personal copies of the book admissibility is judged by that this originally not made through a legal sources, a legal property transferred via a peer-to-peer network would no longer qualify as a private copy since its source had been approved by the copyright owner. In a recent revision to its copyright legislation, Germany has limited the use of private copies to those produced from sources that are not obviously unlawful.

The spread of Network infrastructures was already halted in parts by property holders' activities, which include attacked those who made such access possible, also including P2P software manufacturers and Bit Torrents indexed service provider. Companies have frequently held producers of peer-to-peer technology guilty for conspiring to commit industrial espionage, notwithstanding certain resistance. Notwithstanding of the possible legitimate uses of peer-to-peer technologies, authorities have decided that the primary use of P2P innovation is to distribute intellectual information unauthorized authorization, and that this use is sometimes intentionally promoted by the computer's developers^[3].

Copyright holder have attempted to enforce accountability on Broadband providers by leveraging the restricted space for ISP liabilities allowed under equal protection regulations. In Belgian, a judge ruled a Broadband providers to install a screening mechanism to prohibit its users from profiting through peer-to-peer (P2P) exchange. A comparison for declaratory judgment has now been discussed to the Judge of Justice of the European Union in terms of determining if either or not such an infraction and filtration product complies with both the responsibility equal protection government issued by the European Labor Guidelines 2000/31/EC on electronic commerce—a guideline which excludes Online proxies from every responsibility and any responsibility to supervise Online uses—and is awaiting approval moreover before judge.

Although straight defendants of copyrights have stayed out of another media spotlight, P2P data packets who provide and get copies of intellectual material even without consent of the content providers having been somewhat safe against punitive punishment. This can be explained among all these means of raising revenue and regulatory restrictions (straightforward patent trolls can try hiding next to Proxy servers in the European Union, for instance, in which personal information safeguard regulations occasionally actually prevent the identifier of downloaders). Hundreds of individuals have already being sued for document exchanging in numerous countries throughout the world, according to the musical industry^[4].

1. Copyright and File-Sharing Agreements:

When establishing copyright terms, lawmakers must strike a balance between the greater incentives for creators to generate worked primarily, as well as the greater costs that customers pay when literature, pictures, and records are not readily duplicated. As this description shows, the job of legislators is one that is difficult to fulfil. It requires an answer to two issues before it can establish copyright terms in a manner that is beneficial to the whole society.

Second, we must examine how much smaller the incentives for creating new works will be if the copyright system is tightened any more. Second, and no less important, is the question of how to react to manufacturers' reduced motivation to innovate. Wouldn't it work better if they sold smaller pieces or items of lower value? In this study, we look at how much we currently understand about most of these issues, employing illegal downloading as an illustration of a technique that already has dramatically reduced trademark and copyright protections for songs, pictures, novels, and games consoles.

Relatively stronger protection is clearly useful when that doesn't obstruct creators' and media companies' motives to develop productions. To completely appreciate the consequences of online piracy, we first must assess if the technique has reduced the profitability of contemporary compositions in the early stages of planning, promoted, and distributed. First and foremost, we are all cognizant that around, thousands of consumers transfer thousands of files while compensating authors or perhaps the media sector. Although file sharing is widespread, this does not provide any information on the impact of technology on revenue in the business^[5].

Many people may still acquire songs and films for pennies on the dollar, which they might not had being capable to purchase at current rates. This seems to be a big concern from all signs. The average iPod user had a collection of almost 3,500 songs, including a survey of 5,600 customers who have been ready to share their iPod consumption statistics. Considering that 64 percent of the music individuals purchased might not have been performed previously, it's quite unlikely that a large portion of the material they acquired would've been exceedingly costly to all of those buyers. It's impossible to say how indicative this sampling is of the entire community, but it's apparent that industrial groups like the Enterprise Application Partnership overstate the effect of online piracy on the software industry's revenue by counting each stolen copy as a failed sale. Some researchers argue that the business for patents is fully viscoelastic terms of pricing.

If consumers who have bought a recording instead get a free copy, weakened intellectual property rights will have a negative impact on the industry's revenue. The key question, therefore, is whether consumers regard works that are protected but freely shared to be close substitutes for works that are not protected but freely shared. Substitutes are goods



that, as the name suggests, are identical to the products that are being substituted. A reduction in the price of one replacement item results in a fall in the demand for the other substitute item. When mash-up artists freely reproduce portions of an original record, consumers who consider the derivative work to be a close substitute will be less inclined to acquire the original album, according to one study^[6].

Customers' desire for the unique creation, but from the contrary extreme, may rise if they learned anything from the dish made that helped them understand the classic story greater. The different variants of the music are complementary in this circumstance, two items where a decrease in the pricing of one increases with an increase in interest for either. Musicians and iphones are the most well example of true compliments been heaped on one another. As illegal downloading lowered the effective value of entertainment for an expansive variety of clients, demands for Dvd players soared, enabling IPhone to benefit from users' increased desire to purchase for its new products as a consequence of the lower effective expense of musical.

2. The Amount of File-Sharing Activity:

The volume of illegal downloading activities is tough to determine. The first research used interviews to measure the numbers of consumers, but this approach was said to be inaccurate when interviewees were just more likely to underplay their involvement in potentially illegal activities than some other techniques. Furthermore, the level of exaggeration amongst youths is likely to change over period, dependent on variables including the judicial context and group think. Although it is so challenging to interview a random group of internet users but although to recollect difficulties, poll findings are frequently inaccurate. [7].

The identification of particles as they transit through communications networking would be a more complex approach. These studies use specialized equipment to distinguish amongst communications sent across networking by various providers, such like internet usage, e-mail, or document management. This procedure is day when and commodity, provided the capacity of information becoming conveyed, the changes implemented to the widespread utilised document procedure, and the latest transition to cryptography. Counting the number use 3 independent ways to solve these technical challenges: stream sensors, intrusion prevention, and active engagement with data-exchanging users.

DISCUSSION

The interchange of survey qualitative including music, photographs, and lectures, between so many other things, has sparked a slew of research projects, both theoretical and practical in nature. Two competing axioms about the consequences of online piracy have really been reinforced by conceptual model on a regular basis. The first one is



personality: duplicating harms manufacturers as it's now freely accessible to customers who might ordinarily buy products. Nevertheless, there is another force at work that contradicts that description. Buyers' willingness to pay (and thus their willingness to support from the money transfer) will increase as they forecast that merchandise will be traded.

For contrast, a household might be ready to procure a costly gameplay because the grandparents know that their youngsters might enjoy it. Several more considerations have really been satisfactorily detected in the theory that affect the rebalancing of these two results, along with the comparison production cost and sending and receiving domain expertise, discrepancies in the dimensions of clusters who share worked primarily, purchase price variability, and assessment similarity inside this communication congregation. Dependent on the relevance of the applicable parameters in the paradigm, file transfer may harm or aid businesses, according to a conceptual perspective.

Three elements of directory listing connection user behavior speak out to us as especially important: the narrow concentration on a small number of documents, the fact that data transfer is just a really global phenomena, and the relevance of business promotional operations. We'll go via the everyone individually. Peer-to-peer networking allow participants to exchange a wide range of files. Regardless of the reality that good entertainment is not featured at all, rap, R&B, and new performers are highly featured in this area.

When it comes to what consumers actual downloaded, the novel functional subcategory is immensely dominant, which is a stunning conclusion. Record labels make up a significant portion of all downloading in this category. The data may be seen in the provision of music and videos in 2002, when file transfer was still in its infancy. We are not sure to what extent that has studied significant variations through time in a thorough way.

Buyers have been able to reproduce audio, novels, game consoles, and other classified products on an unimaginable level and at a low cost because to the emergence of file-sharing services. We investigate whether, as a consequence of the Net, modern tech has undermined the motivation for authors and multimedia corporations to create, market, and transmit new pieces. While the real evidence on the effect of document uploading on revenue is inconsistent, various investigations have determined that internet pirate may account for up to one-fifth of the previous drop in the musical industry in terms unit sales.

However, market dislocation is only inadequate material to conclude that authors had reduced opportunities to create new pieces. Performances, technology, and communications equipment, among various businesses, are all affected by online piracy.



For particular, the usage of technologies has increased the pricing of performances, motivating performers to attend much more and so increase their overall earnings.

CONCLUSION

The protection of intellectual property rights, but now increasingly rapidly for movies, videogames, and e-books, has been significantly weakened by sharing the file methods. When it comes to policies around file sharing, the debate has mainly been on the legality of new technologies and the question of whether or not file sharing is a result of decreasing music sales. Despite the fact that these are significant issues, the debate has, in our view, been too limited. Copyright is in place in order to stimulate innovation and to put it another way, in order to promote societal welfare.

For the occurrence of harm, the relocation of sales is a required but not sufficient requirement. It will be necessary to determine if the income from complementary products will be sufficient to offset the loss in revenue from copyrighted works. Furthermore, even if income has decreased, if artists do not react negatively to lower monetary compensation, the general public's well-being is not jeopardized. As our study indicates, there is a mixed body of empirical data on revenue shifting.

Despite the fact that some studies have shown indications of a replacement effect, other research, particularly those that used real file-sharing information, have found that piracy and music sales are essentially unrelated to one another. On the other hand, there is a great deal of evidence that supplement income has increased significantly in recent years. Concert sales, for example, have increased much more than record sales in recent years. In a similar vein, file sharing is responsible for a significant part of consumer electronics sales as well as Internet-related costs and expenditures. Unfortunately, we have no idea how these consequences will be distributed. These modifications have contributed to a portion of the reported increase in output. Second, we would like to point out that the reaction of artists to changes in income caused by technological advancements is an area that should be investigated in the future.

REFERENCES:

- [1] F. Oberholzer-Gee and K. Strumpf, "File sharing and copyright," Innov. Policy Econ., 2009, doi: 10.1086/605852.
- [2] O.-G. F. and S. K., "File sharing and copyright," Innovation Policy and the Economy. 2009.
- [3] F. Oberholzer-gee, "File-Sharing and Copyright (Incentives)," Policy, 2010.
- [4] T. I. Tunca and Q. Wu, "Fighting fire with fire: Commercial piracy and the role of file sharing on copyright protection policy for digital goods," Inf. Syst. Res., 2013, doi: 10.1287/isre.1120.0430.
- [5] F. Von Lohmann, "Peer-to-peer file sharing and copyright law: A primer for developers," Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. (including Subser. Lect. Notes Artif. Intell. Lect. Notes Bioinformatics), 2003, doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-45172-3_10.
- [6] S. Larsson, S. Wnukowska-Mtonga, M. Svensson, and M. De Kaminski, "Parallel norms: File-sharing and contemporary copyright development in Australia," J. World Intellect. Prop., 2014, doi: 10.1002/jwip.12018.
- [7] T. Klumpp, "File sharing, network architecture, and copyright enforcement: An overview," Manag. Decis. Econ., 2014, doi: 10.1002/mde.2634.

<u>END</u>

